

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Could Reduced Fluid Intake Cause the Placebo Effect Seen in Overactive Bladder Clinical Trials? Analysis of a Large Solifenacin Integrated Database

Author: Sender Herschorn, Christopher R Chapple, Robert Snijder, Emad Siddiqui, Linda Cardozo

PII: S0090-4295(17)30373-4
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2017.04.016>
Reference: URL 20397

To appear in: *Urology*

Received date: 31-1-2017
Accepted date: 10-4-2017

Please cite this article as: Sender Herschorn, Christopher R Chapple, Robert Snijder, Emad Siddiqui, Linda Cardozo, Could Reduced Fluid Intake Cause the Placebo Effect Seen in Overactive Bladder Clinical Trials? Analysis of a Large Solifenacin Integrated Database, *Urology* (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2017.04.016>.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Could Reduced Fluid Intake Cause the Placebo Effect Seen in Overactive Bladder Clinical Trials? Analysis of a Large Solifenacin Integrated Database

Sender Herschorn,^{a*} Christopher R Chapple,^b Robert Snijder,^c Emad Siddiqui,^d and Linda Cardozo^e

^a*Department of Surgery/Urology, University of Toronto, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada*

^b*Department of Urology, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, UK*

^c*Astellas Pharma Europe BV, Leiden, The Netherlands*

^d*Astellas Pharma Europe Ltd, Chertsey, Surrey*

^e*King's College Hospital, London, UK*

*Correspondence to: Prof. Sender Herschorn, Department of Surgery/Urology, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Centre Suite MG-408 2075 Bayview Avenue Toronto, Ontario M4N 3M5, Canada. E-mail: Sender.Herschorn@sunnybrook.ca

Abstract word count: 250

Manuscript word count: 1909

Short title: Reduced fluid intake and the placebo effect in OAB trials

Key words: Solifenacin; volume voided; placebo effect

27 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

28

29 Sue Cooper from Envision Scientific Solutions provided medical writing assistance
30 for this work, which was supported by Astellas. The authors would like to thank
31 Gerhard Compion for his contributions to the development of the solifenacin
32 integrated database.

33

34

35 **Abstract**

36 **OBJECTIVE**

37

38 To assess the hypothesis that patients receiving placebo in overactive bladder
39 (OAB) trials who experience less benefit from ‘treatment’ continue with behavioral
40 modifications such as fluid restriction, whereas those on active treatment adopt more
41 normal drinking patterns. This may manifest itself as a reduction in micturition
42 frequency (MF).

43

44 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

45

46 We interrogated a large integrated database containing pooled patient data from 4
47 randomized, placebo-controlled phase III OAB solifenacin studies. A statistical
48 correction was applied to MF to remove the influence of fluid intake.

49

50 **RESULTS**

51

52 Pooled analysis using patient-level data from 3011 patients and accounting for the
53 studies within the models showed that all patients voided progressively less total
54 urine per 24 h during treatment than at baseline. However, reduction in total urine
55 volume voided per 24 h was larger in patients receiving placebo versus those on
56 solifenacin; with a substantial decrease in 24 h urine output in the placebo group
57 from baseline to Week 4, which was not the case in active groups. After correcting
58 MF for volume voided for each patient using the statistical correction and averaging
59 the corrected MF per treatment arm, the placebo effect almost disappeared. Patients

60 on solifenacin voided less often, with a statistically significant increase in volume
61 voided each time they voided, versus placebo.

62

63 **CONCLUSIONS**

64

65 Assuming volume voided is a good surrogate measure for fluid intake, this analysis
66 shows that fluid restriction almost completely explains the reduction in MF in the
67 placebo group. In contrast, patients receiving active treatment adopt more normal
68 drinking patterns once they start to perceive improvement in their OAB symptoms.

69

70

71

72 **INTRODUCTION**

73

74 Placebo response is a well-recognized phenomenon in clinical trials, and is
75 generally higher with chronic disorders, in which patients experience bother or pain,
76 than in disorders involving objectively measured parameters¹. A substantial placebo
77 effect is generally observed in overactive bladder (OAB) trials¹⁻³, making it
78 occasionally difficult to quantify the benefit of active treatments^{4,5}.

79 Several hypotheses have been suggested for this substantial placebo effect.
80 Receiving a placebo is not the same as 'no treatment', but is part of a package of
81 care in which a patient receives general advice, has his or her urine tested for
82 infection and has any infection treated, sees the doctor or nurse who is carrying out
83 the study, fills in a bladder (micturition) diary on a regular basis, and in some
84 countries is given free medication, for which he or she would otherwise have to pay.
85 Therefore, the placebo response seen in these trials could be due to all non-drug
86 aspects of the trial, in addition to 'treatment' with placebo⁶. Participating in an OAB
87 clinical trial, which involves completing bladder diaries, usually for the first time, and
88 interacting with healthcare professionals inevitably results in a bladder training effect.
89 Patients also gain a greater degree of knowledge and insight into their condition from
90 reading the patient information leaflets. The bladder diary gives the patient visual
91 feedback of 'performance', hence they may also 'hold on' to improve the outcome of

92 the bladder diary, leading to better reported responses. Patients may also learn to
93 empty their bladders pre-emptively before a critical volume is reached by adopting a
94 'just in case' approach to going to the toilet. Another contributory factor is that
95 patients may seek help when their symptoms are at their worst, and there may be a
96 contribution from symptoms tending to naturally return towards the individual's
97 baseline norm (regression towards the mean)⁶.

98 A part of bladder training is to actively encourage patients to drink less as part
99 of the educational program. The International Consultation on Incontinence (ICI)
100 guidelines recommend behavioral modifications, including fluid manipulation, as part
101 of first-line treatment for OAB. The average fluid intake required for normal bodily
102 functions is about 24 mL/kg of body weight/day in a temperate climate⁷; equating to
103 1.68 L/day for a 70 kg person. Logically, an increase in daily fluid intake is related to
104 an increase in the volume of urine voided daily⁸. Conversely, decreasing fluid intake
105 can improve urinary symptoms in patients with OAB^{7,9,10}. A randomized, prospective
106 crossover trial in adults with OAB symptoms showed that a reduction of 25% in fluid
107 intake from baseline (median 1854 ml) was effective in reducing OAB symptoms
108 (daytime urinary frequency, urgency and nocturia)¹¹.

109 It was hypothesized that patients in a placebo group, who experience less
110 benefit from their 'treatment', continue with behavioral modifications (such as fluid
111 restriction), whereas those in the active group, who benefit from treatment, adopt a
112 more normal drinking pattern. Therefore, fluid restriction itself in the placebo group
113 may contribute to the placebo response, which is demonstrated as a reduction in
114 micturition frequency (MF). We also postulated that there would be a difference in
115 voided volumes between the placebo and treatment groups as a result of the fluid
116 restriction. To assess the evidence supporting our hypothesis, we interrogated a
117 large integrated database containing pooled patient data from 4 randomized,
118 placebo-controlled, fixed-dose, solifenacin monotherapy studies.

119

120 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

121

122 All 4 studies were 12-week, placebo-controlled, double-blind, fixed-dose
 123 monotherapy Phase IIIa studies (**Supplementary Table 1**)¹²⁻¹⁵. A manuscript
 124 describing methodology for the large integrated database has been published¹⁶.

125 Study endpoints based on MF can be affected directly by study medication, but
 126 may also be altered by changes in fluid intake over the course of the study. For
 127 example, if an individual has 10 micturitions per 24 h with a fluid intake of 2 L, then
 128 one would expect him/her to have 5 micturitions per 24 h with a fluid intake of 1 L. If
 129 the same individual has 7 micturitions per 24 h with a fluid intake of 1 L, then this can
 130 be considered worsening of OAB symptoms even if the absolute number of
 131 micturitions has decreased. Correction of MF follows the same principle, correcting
 132 in alignment with each individual's fluid intake at baseline and endpoint, using the
 133 following statistical correction:

134

135 $MF_{base} = MF$ at baseline

136 $MVV_{base} =$ mean volume voided/micturition (MVV) at baseline

137 $TotVV_{base} =$ total volume voided (TotVV) per 24 h at baseline

138

139 $MF_{EoT} = MF$ at end of treatment (EoT) or final visit

140 $MVV_{EoT} = MVV$ at EoT

141 $TotVV_{EoT} = TotVV$ per 24 h at EoT

142

143 $TotVV_{EoT}$ can be separated into two parts by regarding it as being equal to $TotVV_{base}$
 144 plus the change from baseline to EoT in TotVV

145 ie, $TotVV_{EoT} = TotVV_{base} + \Delta TotVV$

146 where $\Delta TotVV = TotVV_{EoT} - TotVV_{base}$.

147

148 As MVV is, by definition, equal to $TotVV/MF$, by rearrangement, $MF = TotVV/MVV$,
 149 and therefore

150

151 $MF_{EoT} = TotVV_{EoT}/MVV_{EoT}$

152 $= [TotVV_{base} + \Delta TotVV]/MVV_{EoT}$

153 $= TotVV_{base}/MVV_{EoT} + \Delta TotVV/MVV_{EoT}$.

154

155 This can be viewed as a partition of MF_{EoT} into 2 parts as follows:
156 $\Delta TotVV/MVV_{EoT}$ is the additional number of micturitions/24 h (versus baseline)
157 required to void the extra fluid intake.

158

159 $TotVVbase/MVV_{EoT}$ is the number of micturitions per 24 h that would be required at
160 EoT to void the total daily volume, if this total volume remained unchanged from
161 baseline, ie, if treatment did not affect subjects' fluid intake.

162

163 By applying this statistical correction, the size of the placebo effect in each evaluable
164 patient in the dataset can be assessed.

165

166 Differences between treatment arms in total volume voided at the end of the study
167 were analysed using an Analysis of Covariance with treatment arm and baseline as
168 covariate.

169

170

171 RESULTS

172

173 The integrated database comprised pooled data from 3011 patients (**Table 1**).
174 Average total urine voided over a 24-h period for the combined solifenacin 5 mg and
175 10 mg groups is shown in **Table 2**. Baseline values were lower for the solifenacin 5
176 mg group than for the other 2 groups (**Table 1**), but were relatively high overall
177 (approximately 1700 ml). Pooled analysis of the patient data from the integrated
178 database showed that patients taking solifenacin voided progressively less total
179 urine per 24 h during the treatment period than at baseline (**Fig. 1**). However, the
180 reduction in total urine volume voided per 24 h was larger in patients in the placebo
181 arm ($P < .0001$), compared with those receiving active treatment; with a substantial
182 decrease in 24 h urine output recorded for the placebo group from baseline to Week
183 4, which was not the case in the active groups. A reduction in MF from baseline to
184 EoT was seen in both active and placebo groups; however, after correcting MF for
185 each patient in relation to his/her volume voided and then averaging the corrected
186 MF per treatment arm using the statistical correction described in the methods, this

187 showed a stronger correction in the placebo arm than in the active treatment arm,
188 such that the placebo effect almost completely disappeared (**Fig. 2**). Patients on
189 solifenacin voided less often, with a statistically significant increase in volume voided
190 each time they voided, compared with placebo.

191

192 **DISCUSSION**

193

194 A Cochrane review of anticholinergic drugs versus placebo for OAB in adults
195 calculated that 41% of subjects allocated to placebo report symptomatic
196 improvement in symptoms versus 56% in patients allocated to active treatment³. In
197 addition, a systematic review of placebo-controlled, randomized trials in OAB
198 showed that subjects who received placebo demonstrated statistically significant
199 improvements from baseline in micturitions/day and incontinence episodes/day¹⁷. In
200 common with other OAB trials, a large placebo effect has been observed in
201 solifenacin studies. The solifenacin integrated database contains a large number of
202 patients (>3000) from multiple studies conducted all over the world. Pooled analysis
203 of this large integrated database showed that there was a greater reduction in
204 volume voided over 24 h in the placebo arm than in the active arms. The logical
205 assumption being that volume voided is a good surrogate measure for fluid intake,
206 one can estimate the impact of reduced fluid intake on MF. It is clear from the results
207 reported here that after adjusting for fluid intake using the statistical correction, the
208 placebo effect almost completely disappears, and the difference between the
209 placebo and active groups becomes bigger.

210 We therefore suggest that a significant component of the clinical benefit
211 perceived by patients receiving placebo is largely due to behavioral modifications to
212 restrict their fluid intake, which they continue throughout the duration of the trials.
213 However, patients receiving active treatment are able to return to a more 'normal'
214 drinking pattern once they start to perceive an improvement in their OAB symptoms;
215 as a therapeutic consequence of solifenacin is to increase bladder capacity¹⁸. The
216 return to normal fluid intake in the active treatment group will naturally numerically
217 increase the number of micturitions per 24 h compared to when the patient was in a
218 fluid-restricted state. This can limit differentiation between active treatment and

219 placebo for number of micturitions per 24 hours and is also interpreted as a high
220 placebo effect.

221 It should be noted in this database that baseline values for total volumes voided
222 were relatively high. However, baseline values were lower in the solifenacin 5 mg
223 group compared with the other groups. A possible explanation for the lower baseline
224 values in the solifenacin 5 mg group may be that this dosing group is mainly used in
225 European studies, whereas the 10 mg group is mainly used in US studies (**Table 1**).
226 The US population, especially women, generally drink more than Europeans. For
227 example, between 1977 and 1996, there was a dramatic increase in fluid
228 consumption in the US (the consumption of bottled water increased 908% and the
229 average soft drink portion increased by 48%)^{19,20}.

230 Limitations of this analysis are that the studies did not document changes in
231 patient weight during the study, and that there was no direct measurement of fluid
232 intake for any of the studies; currently, however, there is no consensus on how to
233 measure total fluid intake with or without water from food²¹. In addition, we do not
234 know if fluid intake had an effect on other OAB symptoms. Since the key symptoms
235 of OAB are interlinked, it is possible that fluid intake may impact other symptoms of
236 the OAB symptom complex including urgency or urgency urinary incontinence and
237 contribute to the high placebo response seen in patients¹²⁻¹⁵.

238 It is possible that patients in the active treatment arm increased their daily fluid
239 intake as a result of experiencing dry mouth as an AE. However, a recent study
240 examining the impact of dry mouth on fluid intake and OAB symptoms in women
241 receiving fesoterodine for 10 weeks found that women experiencing dry mouth did
242 not change their total fluid intake. In contrast, women without dry mouth significantly
243 reduced their fluid intake (mean decrease of 172.1 mL)²².

244 Theoretically, a micturition diary would have a bladder training effect in both
245 placebo and active groups. To confirm these observations, future studies would need
246 to include micturition diaries and measure fluid intake and voided volumes. Although
247 frequency-volume charts would provide an accurate record of fluid intake and output,
248 asking patients to accurately record fluid intake may add significant burden in
249 already complex clinical trials.

250

251

252 **CONCLUSIONS**

253

254 Active treatment was more effective than placebo in these trials. However, a high
255 placebo effect is witnessed in OAB trials and therefore the purpose of this study was
256 to explore a hypothesis to explain this placebo effect. Urinary volume voided over 24
257 hours is a good surrogate measure for fluid intake, assuming that environmental
258 conditions do not fluctuate excessively leading to increased fluid loss. Therefore,
259 fluid restriction could explain the reduction in MF in the placebo group and provides
260 an alternative explanation for the placebo effect in OAB trials. We believe that it is
261 therefore likely that a significant part of the clinical benefit perceived by patients
262 receiving placebo is derived from behavioral modifications to restrict fluid intake,
263 which continues throughout the duration of the trials. In contrast, patients receiving
264 active treatment are, as a consequence of the therapeutic benefit derived from the
265 drug, able to adopt more normal drinking patterns once they start to perceive
266 improvement in their OAB symptoms. This return to normal fluid intake will naturally
267 increase the number of micturitions per day compared to when the patient was in a
268 fluid-restricted state. This can limit differentiation between active treatment and
269 placebo for number of micturitions per day and is interpreted as a high placebo
270 effect.

271

272

273 REFERENCES

274

275

- 276 1. van Leeuwen JH, Castro R, Busse M, Bemelmans BL. The
277 placebo effect in the pharmacologic treatment of patients with
278 lower urinary tract symptoms. *Eur Urol.* 2006;50:440-452;
279 discussion 453.

- 280 **2.** Lee S, Malhotra B, Creanga D, Carlsson M, Glue P. A meta-
281 analysis of the placebo response in antimuscarinic drug trials for
282 overactive bladder. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2009;9:55.
- 283 **3.** Nabi G, Cody JD, Ellis G, Herbison P, Hay-Smith J. Anticholinergic
284 drugs versus placebo for overactive bladder syndrome in adults.
285 *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006:CD003781.
- 286 **4.** Moerman DE. Meaningful placebos - Controlling the
287 uncontrollable. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365:2.
- 288 **5.** Staskin DR. The placebo response: Not very pleasing. *Curr*
289 *Bladder Dysfunct Rep.* 2010;5:1-3.
- 290 **6.** Ernst E, Resch KL. Concept of true and perceived placebo effects.
291 *BMJ.* 1995;311:551-553.
- 292 **7.** Hashim H, Al Mousa R. Management of fluid intake in patients with
293 overactive bladder. *Curr Urol Rep.* 2009;10:428-433.
- 294 **8.** Tomlinson BU, Dougherty MC, Pendergast JF, Boyington AR,
295 Coffman MA, Pickens SM. Dietary caffeine, fluid intake and urinary
296 incontinence in older rural women. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor*
297 *Dysfunct.* 1999;10:22-28.
- 298 **9.** Swithinbank L, Hashim H, Abrams P. The effect of fluid intake on
299 urinary symptoms in women. *J Urol.* 2005;174:187-189.

- 300 **10.** Callan L, Thompson DL, Netsch D. Does increasing or decreasing
301 the daily intake of water/fluid by adults affect overactive bladder
302 symptoms? *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs.* 2015;42:614-620.
- 303 **11.** Hashim H, Abrams P. How should patients with an overactive
304 bladder manipulate their fluid intake? *BJU Int.* 2008;102:62-66.
- 305 **12.** Chu F, Smith N, Uchida T. Efficacy and safety of solifenacin
306 succinate 10 mg once daily: A multicenter, phase III, randomized,
307 double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial in patients with
308 overactive bladder. *Curr Ther Res Clin Exp.* 2009;70:405-420.
- 309 **13.** Govier FE, Smith N, Uchida T. Efficacy and safety of 10 mg
310 solifenacin succinate in patients with overactive bladder syndrome:
311 results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase
312 III pivotal trial. *Clin Med Insights Urol.* 2010;4:11-20.
- 313 **14.** Chapple CR, Arano P, Bosch JL, De Ridder D, Kramer AE, Ridder
314 AM. Solifenacin appears effective and well tolerated in patients
315 with symptomatic idiopathic detrusor overactivity in a placebo- and
316 tolterodine-controlled phase 2 dose-finding study. *BJU Int.*
317 2004;93:71-77.
- 318 **15.** Cardozo L, Lisec M, Millard R, et al. Randomized, double-blind
319 placebo controlled trial of the once daily antimuscarinic agent
320 solifenacin succinate in patients with overactive bladder. *J Urol.*
321 2004;172:1919-1924.

- 322 **16.** Chapple CR, Cardozo L, Snijder R, Siddiqui E, Herschorn S.
323 Pooled solifenacin overactive bladder trial data: creation, validation
324 and analysis of an integrated database. *Contemporary Clinical*
325 *Trials Communications*. 2016; accepted.
- 326 **17.** Mangera A, Chapple CR, Kopp ZS, Plested M. The placebo effect
327 in overactive bladder syndrome. *Nat Rev Urol*. 2011;8:495-503.
- 328 **18.** Tyagi P, Tyagi V. Mirabegron, a beta(3)-adrenoceptor agonist for
329 the potential treatment of urinary frequency, urinary incontinence
330 or urgency associated with overactive bladder. *IDrugs*.
331 2010;13:713-722.
- 332 **19.** Nielsen SJ, Popkin BM. Patterns and trends in food portion sizes,
333 1977-1998. *JAMA*. 2003;289:450-453.
- 334 **20.** Putnum L, Allshouse JE. Food Consumption, Prices, and
335 Expenditures, 1970-97. Statistical Bulletin No 965 United States
336 Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service; 1999.
- 337 **21.** Gandy J, Martinez H, Guelinckx I, et al. Relevance of assessment
338 methods for fluid intake. *Ann Nutr Metab*. 2016;68:1-5.
- 339 **22.** Weissbart SJ, Lewis R, Smith AL, Harvie HS, Miller JM, Arya LA.
340 Impact of dry mouth on fluid intake and overactive bladder
341 symptoms in women taking fesoterodine. *J Urol*. 2016;195:1517-
342 1522.

343

344 **Figure 1.** Mean change from baseline to weeks 4, 8 and 12 in total urine volume
345 voided per 24-hour period. [Single column image]

346

347 **Figure 2.** Change from baseline to end of study in micturition frequency/24 h. [Single
348 column image]

349

350

Accepted Manuscript

351 **Table 1.** Baseline demographics and OAB characteristics (FAS)

	Solifenacin 5 mg (N = 552)	Solifenacin 10 mg (N = 1158)	Placebo (N = 1137)
Men, N (%)	121 (21.9)	242 (20.9)	219 (19.3)
Women, N (%)	431 (78.1)	916 (79.1)	918 (80.7)
Age, mean (SD) years	56.8 (13.6)	57.9 (13.5)	58.1 (13.2)
Age range, years	19–85	18–86	18–88
Age group, years (%)			
18 to <40	55 (10.0)	115 (9.9)	99 (8.7)
40 to <65	315 (57.1)	640 (55.3)	640 (56.3)
65 to <75	130 (23.6)	277 (23.9)	277 (24.4)
≥75	52 (9.4)	126 (10.9)	121 (10.6)
BMI, mean (SD)	27.2 (5.0)	28.5 (6.3)	28.5 (6.4)
Region, N (%)			
US/Canada	0	604 (52.2)	604 (53.1)
Europe	429 (77.7)	429 (37.0)	409 (36.0)
Other	123 (22.3)	125 (10.8)	124 (10.9)

352

353

354

355

356 **Table 2.** Average total urine volume voided over 24 h period (mL)

	Combined solifenacin 5 mg and 10 mg groups			Placebo		
	Average total urine volume voided, mL	N	SD	Average total urine volume voided, mL	N	SD
Baseline	1772.29	1709	711.16	1829.25	1134	775.47
Week 4	1762.61	1703	719.22	1729.27	1134	752.24
Week 8	1725.32	1614	704.44	1703.61	1066	776.79
Week 12	1695.11	1557	677.28	1679.88	1021	745.17

357 Difference between active treatment and placebo = 81 (95% CI = 36-125), $P =$

358 0.0004

359

360

361

362 **Supplementary Table 1.** Individual solifenacin studies included in the meta-analysis

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

Accepted Manuscript